### 6. SYNTAX OF THE ORAL NARRATIVE

It has already been pointed out in the previous chapter that in Arabian dialect studies the focus of research has been mainly on phonology and morphology, and, up to now, very little attention has been paid to syntax. In this respect, however, the Šammari dialect is in fact better off than most of the other Peninsular dialects thanks to the work of Peter Abboud on the dialect of Ḥāyil entitled *The Syntax of Najdi Arabic*. This work stands out as the most important single contribution to the syntactic study of Arabian dialects. One only regrets that for the last twenty-five years no comparable work has appeared in English on the subject of Najdi syntax.

Obviously, the text we are publishing here is meant to broaden the data base of research on the dialects and oral literature of Arabia, particularly that of the Šammari tribe. From this perspective, this narrative text provides a supplement to the recently published texts in the Šammari dialect (40) and to the texts published by R.M. Montagne (41) half a century ago. This would broaden the basis for comparative research and the study of the changes and variations in the Šammari dialect along temporal and social (e.g. settled vs nomadic) axes.

More importantly, the syntactic analysis given in this chapter complements and compares with Abboud's work on several significant points. Abboud explains how he obtained his data saying that:

the study is based on the speech of four students from Hāyil who are at present studying at the Saudi Arabian Training Project at the University of Texas. The students, whose ages range between 19 and 23, lived all their lives in Hāyil before coming to the United States, except for short trips to the adjoining areas and to neighbouring Arabic countries. Their idiolects reflect the educated speech of the town. The corpus consists of extensive high-fidelity tape-recordings covering a variety of topics, mainly stories, personal narratives, and dialogues, and of many hours of additional material elicited at regular sessions with the four informants individually throughout the academic year 1963-64 (p.1).

Abboud's informants were educated, young city people whereas mine were, in most cases, old, illiterate nomads, not to mention the fact that he recorded his material during 1963-64 while I recorded mine during 1983-84, an interval of twenty years. Furthermore, the literary nature of this text, the artistic competence of the informants, and the true authentic setting under which the material was recorded would lead one to suppose a difference, if not in grammar, at least in diction and style. As a matter of fact, with regard to grammar, it is of interest to note here that the published material on the Šammari dialect shows a noticeable through and across uniformity and stability time settled-nomadic continuum.

Despite the sociolinguistic considerations just alluded to, the results of my syntactic analysis, which I shall outline shortly, do not in any way contradict Abboud's analysis but rather support it. Nevertheless, it remains to be said due to that comprehensiveness of The Syntax of Najdi Arabic which attempts to give a panoramic, grammatical sketch of the dialect as a whole, Abboud was able to treat only very briefly some syntactic aspects leaving much room for further, more elaborate analysis. My method is different from his in that instead of aiming to expound the syntactic system in its totality, I chose to dwell on the most salient syntactic patterns exhibited by the text at hand, leaving other aspects of the syntax, as well as the phonology and morphology, for future consideration.

## Subordination Using the Particle yom

As a noun, the word  $y\bar{o}m$  means "a day" as in the adage  $h\bar{a}di$   $h\bar{a}l$  ad-dinya,  $y\bar{o}min$  lak w- $y\bar{o}min$   $sal\bar{e}k$  "C'est la vie, one day fortune comes your way and one day it goes against you." As an adverb, al- $y\bar{o}m$  means "today, now, at this time, in this age" as in min haka-l- $y\bar{o}m$  ila al- $y\bar{o}m$  "from then till now;" the adverb "since" is min  $y\bar{o}m$ . As a particle,  $y\bar{o}m$  could serve as a linker as in the sentence  $t\bar{a}l\bar{i}h$   $tigassid\bar{o}h$   $y\bar{o}m$   $yadbih\bar{u}n$  faras al- $Lh\bar{a}wi$  (161) "the last (of their water) they had apportioned among themselves after they killed the horse of al- $Lh\bar{a}wi$ ."

As a conjunction,  $v\bar{o}m$  fulfills syntactic functions similar to the classical Arabic particle  $h\bar{\imath}n\alpha m\bar{\alpha}$  "when, at the time of" or basdamā "after." As a subordinate conjunction, yōm is used very frequently in our text (42). It is usually followed in subordinate clause by one, and not infrequently by more than one verb in the perfect. The main clause could be a verbal clause with the verb either in the perfect or the imperfect. The main clause could also have more than one verb. When the verb of the main clause is in the perfect, the result is an asyndetic structure (\*1-\*19 below). Notice that a part of the subordinate clause could be focused and moved to the front of the sentence (\*16-\*18). Also, between  $v\bar{o}m$  and the following verb the particle  $\Omega \bar{a}d$  (\*10) or the particle inn with a pronominal suffix agreeing with the subject of the verb (\*11-\*15) can be inserted. In our text, there is only one other example of an asyndetic subordinate structure in which the clause has a participle instead of a verb in the perfect (\*20). In case the verb of the main clause is in the imperative (\*21) or the imperfect (\*22-\*44), the result is a syndetic subordinate construction using the particle w to introduce the verb of the main clause. An independent subject pronoun is sometimes inserted between the syndetic particle and the imperfect verb of the main clause (\*37-\*44). The independent subject pronoun can be followed directly by a pseudo-dative (\*43-\*44).

- \*1. yōm jāuh, gāl <409>
- \*2. yōm jāhum, gāl <436>
- \*3. yöm jābōh la-l-SWāji, gāl <326>
- \*4. yōm asbahaw, mišaw <434>
- \*5. yōm rkiḍaw Salēhum, gāl Hiḍlūl <172>
- \*6. yōm šāfaw hādi ma wixdah, racbaw miSuh <46>
- \*7. yōm wiṣl w-ḥawwal w-sallam, gāl luh <382>
- \*8. yōm ja haluh w-radd ad-dibaš, Sammad luh rāSi dilūl <125>
- \*9. yōm gayyalaw, xubrat Ḥsēn xanṣaraw w-akalaw aḍ-ḍabiy
- \*10. yōm Sād agfaw ba-z-zamil . . . iltifat Ḥsēn Sala Hiḏlūl <113-4>
- \*11. yōm innhu agbal Salēhum . . . wgaSat al-fitīlih <107>

- \*12. yōm innuh rija? ant ya-?Awad, . . . gāl <177>
- \*13. yōm innihum tidayyifaw, gālaw <394>
- \*14. vom innihum . . . garribaw l-Kihlih, gāl <74>
- \*15. võm innihum jaw, arsal ibin Gdūr la-š-Šwēhri <50>
- \*16. SGāb yōm jāuh an-nidīr, fazzas myat xayyāl <446>
- \*17. gōm Hidlūl yōm ansaf sagyihum, gāl rās as-sānyih <268>
- \*18. b-ġarbi Zibār Wrēć yōm ōjahan lak // rāḥat tidahda jiṭṭitak ma baha rās <588>
- \*19. yōm nawwaxaw, ma fatnaw illa yōm tġasōhum <138>
- \*20. wallah ana yōm Samaltu bi hāć, m antum mtijammlīnin bi baSad <218>
- \*21. võm ġarriguh, w-lahhģuh ar-rimh <540>
- \*22. yōm agbal Salēh, w-yigūm yarkid <538>
- \*23. yōm dbihuh . . . w-yijy al-xabar Šammar <361>
- \*24. yōm iltifat Hāyis Sala ēsiruh, wi-y-Sarid luh Sirhān <500>
- \*25. yōm jaw an-nifūd . . . w-yanhaj wāhdin <79>
- \*26. yōm šāfōh gōmuh, w-yarfas allah yiduh sanhum <197>
- \*27. yōm jat xēl SNizih, wi-ydalli SiSdūn . . . ynaššid <547>
- \*28. yōm ja tāl al-lēl, w-yijiy wāḥdin ismuh Mašsān <555>
- \*29. yōm diry Pbēb in aš-šyūx mdabbiḥīn, w-yamši Sala z-zimāmīl <561>
- \*30. yōm xaḍāhum, w-yijīk minćif <42>
- \*31. yōm ja waṣṭ al-lēl, w-tiSṭīn ṣirrat jarād <568>
- \*32. yōm innhu agbal, wi-ytišaṭṭar luh Ḥsēn <103>
- \*33. yōm innuh ja haluh, wi-ysazl arbas <43>
- \*34. yōm innuh naxa Hāyis, w-yanxa Šammar <356>
- \*35. yōm innuh Sašša, wi-ytaSānag hu w-iyya Twērib <273>
- \*36. yōm innh agribat aš-šams, wi-yšūfuhm ar-riģībih <166>
- \*37. yōm innihm nhaḍōh w-absado buh šwēn, wi-hi talḥaghm al-xēl <458>
- \*38. yōm innuh wiṣl Xbēbt al-Ġazuw . . . wu-hu yanzil <406>
- \*39. yōm jaw al-abrag, wu-hu yalḥaguh <491>
- \*40. yōm zhabat, wu-hu yiṣibb haka-l-finjāl <151>
- \*41. yōm ijtmasaw, wu-hu yilizz haka-l-wāḥid <316>
- \*42. yōm tawwar buh, wu-hu yadirbuh al-midaćć <196>
- \*43. yōm wardawah w-asgaw mnah, wu-hum lak yṣaddrūn <76>
- \*44. yōm šāfōh b-itarhum, wu-hum lak yaSagbūnuh <296>

Also, the main clause could be a nominal clause (\*45-\*70) or a prepositional clause (\*71-\*72). In either case, it is introduced by the syndetic particle ya/lya. This particle could also introduce a verbal (\*73-\*76) or a participial (\*76) main clause. Later, we shall have more to say regarding the subordinate function of ya/lya.

- \*45. yōm wardaw, ya xwayāhum ma humb buh <169>
- \*46. yōm massuh, ya mjalmduh <192>
- \*47. yōm ja ad-dihir, ya mbaruwḥīnuh w-jāmm <263>
- \*48. yōm jōh, wardaw Salēh, ya mindifin <260>
- \*49. yōm iltifat Hidlūl, ya l-mġīrat hi hādīć <298>
- \*50. yōm fiṭn ibin Nōbān . . ., ya jāyyuh al-mirsāl <323>
- \*51. yōm xduwah, ya hu, aš-Šwēhri, haka-l-wagt Saģīd <38>
- \*52. yōm aġribat aš-šams, ya hum mirwīn <270>
- \*53. yōm ja al-Saṣir ma ja min xwayāhm aḥad, ya hum Saṭša <159-160>
- \*54. vom asbahaw . . ., va hāda t-tarš <448>
- \*55. yōm xduwah, ya hāda š-Šwēhri <327>
- \*56. yōm jābōh, ya hāḍa miḍrāb aš-šalfa b-ġārbuh <578>
- \*57. yōm innihum . . . adhaw w-garribat al-gāylat, ya hādi yōm tlasat al-jrūd <451>
- \*58. yōm wardaw Salyah, ya wallah hāda tarhum šārbīnah <131>
- \*59. yōm ṭlaʕaw mn al-ʕarab, ya wallah ṭalāṭ haka-l-xayyālih <395>
- \*60. yōm sāh Salēhum, ya wallh as-sSadēn ma ytarākabin <485>
- \*61. yōm rāsa Hāyis . . . ya wallah rāsi haka-l-miṭiyyih <380>
- \*62. yōm rāsa, ya wallah Rīmat, dilūluh, tsatib b-itarhum <179>
- \*63. yōm agbalaw Sala l-ģaltih, ya wallah ma yšūfūnuhum <169>
- \*64. yōm rāsaw rabis Hidlūl, lya l-sarab ćiṭīr . . . lya wallah ma yimćinhum yirūġūn <90>
- \*65. yōm argibaw haka-n-nōbih, lya hādīć al-wiḍḥ <287>
- \*66. yōm ja aṣ-ṣibḥ, lya hāḍa ṭar ar-rajjāl <444>
- \*67. yōm ja šanag al-abrag, lya hāḍa SGāb . . . lya mēr mitnazzhin <558>
- \*68. yōm waṣlaw Mōgag . . . ya mēr ibin SAwwād, mn al-Bšayyir, Sašīrin li-SGāb <572>
- \*69. yōm wardaw Sala t-Tayyim . . . ya mēr bass sabiS w-arbiSīn xayyāl <392>

- \*70. yōm rāsa, ya mar ar-rajil wārdin sala Hidlūl <192>
- \*71. yōm jaw al-Ḥayyāniyyih, ya Salyah lah Sarab <404>
- \*72. yōm iltifat Hāyis, ya Sala ēminuh Mfīz <503>
- \*73. yōm tlasaw, ya mēr yšūfūn al-bill <264>
- \*74. wallah yōm imtarrat al-xēl, ya mēr dōnibat basaḍ xēl sNizih <465>
- \*75. yōm šāf Fhēd wigas, ya mar ma yimćin ibin Sisdūn yiṭils al-midaćć <194-5>
- \*76. yōm tārat, ya mar yarćab rāsuh, ya mar mnajjzuh <191>

In the examples \*68-\*70 and \*73-\*76 above, notice the insertion of the particle  $m\bar{e}r/m\bar{a}r/mar$  after the syndetic particle ya/lya. By itself,  $m\bar{e}r/m\bar{a}r/mar$  usually serves to link clauses and has approximately the meaning of "but, however, anyway, in that case" (see also 94,95,111,116,174,284,445,455,552,604) (43).

The above examples (\*1-\*76) represent the majority of cases and most common patterns of subordination using the particle yom. However, there are minor variations on these basic patterns. In three examples below (\*77-\*79) the syndetic w is prefixed to ya/lya. On rare occasions, the main clause is introduced by  $y\bar{o}m$ (\*80),  $\alpha y \bar{o} m$  (\*81) or  $y \alpha m \bar{e} r y \bar{o} m$  (\*82). There are cases in which the main clause and the subordinate clause switch positions (\*83-\*84). Some subordinate structures are quite complex (\*85-\*86). In one rare exception (\*87) the verb of the subordinate clause is not in the perfect but in the imperfect.

- \*77. yōm xadaw, w-ya hādōla rabsahum <206>
- \*78. yōm innihm ṭlasaw mas aṭ-ṭiss . . . w-ya mēr ar-rjāl sala l-ma <86>
- \*79. yōm innihm aṣbaḥaw w-jōhum, wi-lya hāḍa Fhēd <211>
- \*80. yōm faṭnaw, yōm gāmat tḥamal al-gōm Salēhum <461>
- \*81. yōm innihum jo lihm abragn . . . ayōm innihm ṭlaʕaw aš-šyūx <481>
- \*82. yōm dharaw mas Mṭērdih . . . ya mēr yōm tibayyanaw <474>
- \*83. ya mēr ma luh ḥīlitin yōm innh wgaSat al-faras <105>
- \*84. giṣad baʕad ʕigib dabḥat Hidlūl yōm diri innuh naxa Hāyis <372>
- \*85. yōm ṭawwar buh, wu-hu yaḍirbuh al-midaćć mas ad-dēd, ya mar šāsṭin janbuh, ya mar ṭālsin mas ibṭuh <196>

\*86. yōm ġarriguh, w-laḥḥģuh ar-rimḥ, ya ḍārbuh b-jarbūst as-sāg, ya ṭālsin ar-rimḥ mgābal <540>

\*87. yōm tisbih, ya mēr ant āxdin basārīnah <346>

There are examples of subordination similar in form to the examples above except for the deletion of the subordinator  $y\bar{o}m$ . In the examples \*88-\*94 below, we could prepose  $y\bar{o}m$  without changing the meaning. We have seen that in all the above examples, the verb of the subordinate clause is in the imperfect, except for example \*87 in which the verb is in the perfect. It seems that once the subordinator  $y\bar{o}m$  is not used, the verb of the subordinate clause can be in any mood. In the examples \*88-\*95 the verb of the subordinate clause is in the perfect, but it could also be in the imperfect (\*96-\*105) or imperative (\*106-\*107) or even in the participle (\*108). In the examples \*96-\*108, one would get the same meaning by introducing the subordinate particle  $y\bar{o}m$  and changing the mode of the verb in the subordinate clause to the perfect.

- \*88. intiluh ya mtabbáin buh <518>
- \*89. gimaz ar-ridīf ya hu bi-šdādah <307>
- \*90. faṭnaw hal al-jaw ya hādola r-riSyān jāyyīnin yiṣīḥūn <256>
- \*91. ḥaṭṭah ba-l-faras Snuh ya mjalmdin Sdūdah <104>
- \*92. darab hu Sāyir ya hāttin buh suwābin jayyid <541>
- \*93. iltifat yaby ad-dilūl ya xawiyyuh fāddin bah <305>
- \*94. jāk yarkid yaby ad-dilūl ya wallah rāyḥatn ad-dilūl <306>
- \*95. min hawwal b-wāhdin intaxa . . . lya tūl rsanah <524-5>
- \*96. w-yadirb al-faras ya rācbitin rāsah <103>
- \*97. w-yanzi min Sala l-faras ya tūl rsanah <513>
- \*98. wi-yrawwḥūn Salēhum ya mēr Mbērīć at-Tbēnāwi . . . Sindihm ylaggis <573>
- \*99. yigiṣṣūnh al-Sdāyh w-ila mēr wāṭyin luh ḥayyih <435>
- \*100. yijīk Hiḏlūl wu-hu yaḥarf aḍ-ḍilūl b-wajhahum ya ḍilūluh, Rīmih, ma tiṭrad <300>
- \*101. wu-hu yidihhuh ya msawwbuh <496>
- \*102. wu-hu yalsbuh ya gātsin sirgūbuh <579>
- \*103. wu-hu yarji\$ yabiy bintah ya mnaṭṭḥīnhu iyyāh <105>
- \*104. wu-hu yamṣṭuh ya mar wāģSin Sala baṭnuh, w-ya mar haḍāk mfahhjin Sala ġārbuh <199>

- \*105. wi-hi tigūm tsard al-xēl sinduh, ya sālamin ma tiḥṣa <452>
- \*106. šikkuh b-haka-l-Srēniyyat lya mēr ćSabēnn alli hāffin buh <512>
- \*107. tawwar buh wi-ḍribuh mas ad-dēd ya fājjitin lak dēduh <213>
- \*108. wāṭyah ba-l-lēl ma šāfah ila mēr mūdsah giṭsatēn <435>

# Subordination Using the Particle ila/la/lya/ya

The four allomorphs ila/la/lya/ya mean the same thing and they distribute rather freely in performing various syntactic functions. One of these functions is similar to that of Classical Arabic  $2id\bar{a}$  al- $fuj\bar{a}2iyyah$  which indicates surprise and unexpectedness, especially when followed by a demonstrative or the oath wallah, eg. ya wallh  $a\check{s}$ - $\check{S}arar\bar{a}t$   $\langle 89 \rangle$  w-ya  $h\bar{a}di$  hi minkasrih  $\langle 205 \rangle$   $\langle see$  also  $120,152,306,407,461,531 \rangle$ . The compound ya  $m\bar{e}r/la$   $m\bar{e}r$  sometimes also indicates surprise and unexpectedness; e.g. ya mar  $jiz\bar{u}r$   $\langle 80 \rangle$ , la  $m\bar{e}r$   $y\check{s}\bar{u}f$  ad-damm b- $ib\bar{t}uh$   $\langle 497 \rangle$   $\langle see$  also  $59,153,190,191,194,195,198,300 \rangle$ . The particle ya could also serve as a linker, meaning "when," as in  $Mt\bar{e}rdat$  b-ha- $\check{s}$ - $\check{s}rift$  alli ya ta $Sadd\bar{e}t$   $R\bar{i}$ S al-Mganni  $\langle 471 \rangle$   $\langle see$  also  $83,92,114,366,591 \rangle$ .

Subordination is one of the most important syntactic functions fulfilled by ila/la/lya/ya. But, before we discuss this point let us examine very briefly the development of this morpheme which has almost the same meaning as the Classical Arabic  $2id\bar{a}$  "if, when." It is reasonable to assume that of the four allomorphs ila is the oldest form since it is the closest in pronunciation to  $2id\bar{a}$ . But usually an initial hamzah is avoided in the Arabian dialects as much as possible; hence,  $ila \rightarrow la$ . Also, the loss of the initial hamzah is usually compensated for by adding a final long vowel to the word as in  $axad \rightarrow xada$ ,  $ahad \rightarrow hada$ , etc. By analogy, we can pose  $ila \rightarrow la \rightarrow lya \rightarrow ya$ . Although this could reflect a historical development, the older forms are never discarded but used concurrently with the new ones.

In \*45-\*79 above, we have seen ya/lya functions as a syndetic in a subordinate structure, sometimes with the sense of "lo and behold." But the article could also serve as a subordinator. In this

capacity, it introduces a subordinate clause with a verb in the perfect, as is the case with  $y\bar{o}m$ , Also, the particle min could be inserted between the subordinator and the verb. However, unlike the case with  $y\bar{o}m$ , in the following examples, whether the main clause is verbal (\*109-\*129), nominal (\*130) or prepositional (\*131-\*132), and regardless of whether the verb is in the perfect (\*109-\*114), imperfect (\*115-\*127), or imperative (\*128-\*129) the subordinate construction is always asyndetic in all cases.

- \*109. ya nišb ar-rimh b-tōbuh, msiStuh Falha <491>
- \*110. ya xtaffan al-Sērāt, ćaṭraw nxāti <122>
- \*111. lya gaṭṭibaw al-Ṣarab ala l-jiyyān, lya gṭanaw al-Ṣarab Ṣala l-ma, ġaza <68>
- \*112. šēxin lya šāf al-misāyīr, gāmi <616>
- \*113. lya minnah nawwaxat, ma tārat <269>
- \*114. tarhin lya rājan Sala š-šēx, ma šīf <377>
- \*115. ya wardaw, yhasil lak xwaya <413>
- \*116. ya xduwah Sinjārih, yiriddha bin Gdūr b-xāwih <11>
- \*117. ya agfēna ba-d-dibaš, yabūn yalhagūn <456>
- \*118. ya šawwišat, tašda Sanūd al-idāmi <606>
- \*119. hitta ya ballēto kbūdukum, tagwon tihūšūn <165>
- \*120. ya jaw an-nās yabġōn yisġūn mnah, yāxdūn bi-dliyy <72>
- \*121. lya stadrikaw ar-rjāl, ytikassibōn al-man\$ <311>
- \*122. lya jīt mn al-mġaza hāda, amši lu al-ḥagg <45>
- \*123. lya minni istidamt, ajīk <301>
- \*124. ya minnah taraddidat al-Slūm, yigūlūn <417>
- \*125. ya ṣāḥ ixu SiSda ala l-xēl ća-z-Zīr // tarćab mišāwīl al-mhār al-ḥazāmi <603>
- \*126. ya mtalat ba-r-ribīs ma tagdi illa tišrab širb <70>
- \*127. aṭ-ṭalag ya ṣār grayyib, ma yimdīk Salēh <102>
- \*128. ya jīna gāst at-talsih, hawwlu <165>
- \*129. ila minnikum taharrētūhum . . . indbu li <134>
- \*130. ana ya sallamtu Simri, al-bāģīn b-kēf allah bihum <150>
- \*131. ya maddētu min maḥallikum hāḍa, ba-l-Ḥfēr mʕaššākum <421>
- \*132. ya abrad al-barād, Salēk allah w-imān allah inna laSan nāṣiluh < 387>

The meaning and function of the subordinator in the above examples (\*109-\*132) are somewhat similar to the meaning and function of  $y\bar{o}m$  in the previous examples (\*1-\*87). But in a different syntactic context ila/la/lya/ya could serve a different subordinate function similar to the English until/till. In the following examples, when the subordinate clause is verbal, the verb is ushered in by the nominalizer ma which fuses with la and ya to form lama/yama.

- \*133. halli Sād twannat lya ha-l-hīn <356>
- \*134. Sād raćbithu an-Niḍīr ya ha-l-ḥīn, lya ha-s-sāSt alli ant bah <432>
- \*135. tamši lva ma taćwi al-milh w-yitūr <102>
- \*136. tanaw lya ma ja al-Sasir <159>
- \*137. sām rūhuh lya ma Sadda rūḥuh myat wadha <350>
- \*138. wiš alli yṣabbran lya ma yijy aṣ-ṣfiri <389>
- \*139. wallah lo tatilban lama t\( \sigma jaz \le 32 \right)
- \*140. axallīk tarkid b-itarah lama talḥag Sala Simrak <35>
- \*141. wu-hu Sād Hāyis ytisallam lak SGāb, ytiwallāuh, lama jaw al-abrag <490>
- \*142. ajlid yama yibird al-barād <387>
- \*143.rōći\$ yama yḥabar xašmak w-ṣawwit yama ytigaṭṭa\$ fwēhak <353>
- \*144. imskan Sindak yama yijinnak <344>
- <see also 471,498,599,601,607>.

There is only one example in our text in which the synonymous subordinator *laḥatta* is used instead of *lama/yama*.

\*145. ajildu laḥatta niḍḥi <450>

## Subordination Using the Particle Sala

The particle Sala introduces a nominal clause directly but when it introduces a verbal clause, the verb is preceded by the nominalizer ma. This particle does not always serve as a subordinator, e.g. Sala ma dikart lak "as I told you," Sala ma gal al-gayil "as the saying goes." As a subordinator Sala functions very much like  $y\bar{o}m$  and ila/la/lya/ya but it means "as, as soon as." In the following examples, the subordinate structure is

asyndetic when the verb of the main clause is in the imperative (\*146). But if the verb is in the imperfect, the main clause is ushered in by the syndetic w (\*147-\*148). The syndetic particle ya ( $m\bar{a}r$ ) introduces a nominal (\*149-\*150) or a prepositional (\*151) main clause.

- \*146. Sala ma tiṭiggūn rūs ar-rċāb, gibalkm išribu b-argābikum <165>
- \*147. Sala tašrīSathum ba-l-ma, wi-hi tarkid Salēhm al-Sarab <171>
- \*148. Sala ma ṭabban rkabhn al-arḍ, wu-hum gibalhum ytiṭābigōn <170>
- \*149. Sala gölituh buh ha-l-lön, ya Ḥsēn midihrin al-midaćć <192>
- \*150. Sala ma wigas, ya mar Hidlūl sinduh <191>
- \*151. Sala ma wigas Fhēd, ya b-hattat sAbdallah ibin Sisdūn al-migt ba-z-znād <193>

But when *ila* instead of *ya* introduces the main clause, the syndetic *w* is used.

\*152. Sala ma gmizan haka-l-Sadāmih, w-ila lāḥģuh ba-l-hawa <510>

There are other particles like Sala which alternate between a preposition and a subordinator, always using the nominalizer ma to mark a verbal subordinate clause. Of these particles, the most common is gabil and Sigib as in:

\*153. Sigib ma hūb ad-Dabiy, sār ismuh Ţiwīl ar-Rimħ <564>

#### The Conditional Construction.

The Classical Arabic particle  $2id\bar{a}$  has been replaced in Peninsular Arabic by ila (and its variants) which has lost its conditional function and has become an equivalent of the subordinate conjunction "until/till" or the temporal particle "when," which could also serve as a subordinate conjunction. The two conditional particles in and law remain, in Peninsular Arabic as in Classical Arabic, the two most important conditional particles. The indefinite pronouns alli, min, and ma also serve as conditionals. The conditional structure of these indefinite pronouns is much more uniform and simplified than the two particles in and law. Therefore, we shall start with them first.

In a conditional sentence, in which the conditional particle is one of the three indefinite pronouns, the main clause, which could be verbal, nominal, or prepositional, is simply tagged asyndetically to the subordinate clause. With *alli* the verb of the protasis is imperfect while the verb of the apodosis could be imperfect (\*154-\*159), imperative (\*160), or future (\*161). With *min* and *ma*, both the protasis and apodosis take their verb in the perfect.

- \*154. alli yab al-bill ytawakkal Sala llah <45>
- \*155. alli yagidbuh . . . bass ysallman buh <67>
- \*156. alli yadra bin Gdūr . . . la yatbasan <39>
- \*157. alli yanzil Salēk ma tiStīh aḥad <59>
- \*158. alli yabiy Rīmih ma yxallīha gafwuh <186>
- \*159. alli yabiy yiSidd at-tārīx . . . ma ymayyil l-hāḍāk willa hāḍāk <1>
- \*160. alli tabiy min nyāgi xduh <29>
- \*161. alli yixissikum nabiy nsallmuh <144>
- \*162. alli yindirna . . . luh Sala kill mrāḥin tiniyyih <430-1>
- \*163. min jāna minkum gāl ana dibaḥt SGāb al-SWāji <575>
- \*164. ma ja min xayyāln ankisōh. ma ja min xayyāln umma dbaḥo frisuh willa sawwibōh w-rija\$ <479>
- \*165. ma jāhum min xayyāln umma jdasāh hu willa jdasaw frisuh
- \*166. ma lhaghu mn al-xēl zgirhu w-ṣaffaḥ <493>
- \*167. ma liḥiģ min farasin adārawah Sala SGāb wi-ḍribtuh <516>

It is not clear whether the semantic distinctions which separate the two conditional particles in and law in Classical Arabic hold for the Šammari dialect. The examples we have culled from our narrative text seem to indicate that there is a degree of overlap between in and law not only in their respective semantic fields, but also in the way each effects the syntax of the conditional sentence. For one thing, the oath wallah is used quite profusely with both articles, especially in the protasis. This, however, is clearly a stylistic, rather than a syntactic feature. Regarding formal syntax, the protasis of in is verbal and the verb is in the perfect in all our examples. But, with law while the verb of the protasis could be in the perfect (\*185-\*187), it could also be in the

imperfect (\*188-\*191) or the protasis could even be a nominal clause (\*192-\*196).

The subordinate structure of the conditional sentence formed by the use of *in* or law is asyndetic in most cases. The only exception to this is when the apodosis of the *in* conditional sentence is a positive, nominal clause; in which case the apodosis is linked syndetically to its protasis by using the particle f (\*168) or the substitute particle w (\*169).

To change a protasis from the nominal to the verbal, the verb  $\dot{can}$  is introduced after in (\*176). This verb does not only change the protasis from nominal to verbal, but it also adds temporal significance to an otherwise nontemporal verbal clause. Not infrequently, in is omitted and only  $\dot{can}$  remains to mark the conditional construction. The verb  $\dot{can}$  in the examples \*177-\*184 below remains the same and does not conjugate, which testifies to the fact that it has become transformed into a bona fide conditional particle.

In addition to *alli*, min, ma, in, law,  $ć\bar{a}n$ , there remains one more conditional, namely  $l\bar{o}la$ , represented by only one example (\*197) in our text.

Since the negative particles lan and laysa used in Classical Arabic have disappeared completely from Peninsular Arabic, the particle ma is used instead to negate an apodosis, regardless of the conditional particle and regardless whether the apodosis is nominal or verbal and whether the verb is perfect or imperfect. This use of the negative ma instead of lan and laysa, the only one example we have of using f to introduce an apodosis (\*168), and the other two examples of using what seems to be an atrophied remnant of the emphatic l (used in CA) to introduce the apodosis of in (\*170) and law (\*195) — all this shows how the conditional structure in Peninsular Arabic has become radically different and, in fact, more complex syntactically than in CA, especially in regard to introducing the apodosis and linking it to the protasis.

Compared to the subordinate construction we have dealt with in the previous sections, the examples we have of conditional constructions are more complex than can be stated in simple rules. It is important to point out that the examples we have of conditional subordination are rather limited in comparison with other subordinate constructions using such particles as  $y\bar{o}m$  and ya. Because of the limited examples we have, and because of the variety of ways in which these examples are constructed, it is best at this stage of our research to list the examples and refrain from making any general statements till the time we have more data to shed more light on this point.

- \*168. in ligētwah msatūnih . . . fa-l-bayānt innikm tsānģūnina <136>
- \*169. in ṭawwalat ḥayātuh wu-hu bāćir yabiy yxallīna našrab al-ma <66>
- \*170. wallah in wixdat min bēti, inni l-axallīk tarkiḍ b-iṭarah lama talḥag Sala Simrak <35>
- \*171. in Satēto hazīmih . . . wallah laSan yšallSūnukum <466-7>
- \*172. wallah in misakna ma yxalli bina raṭb al-ḥalg <172>
- \*173.tarāk in jawwadt al-masra bāćir ma yijy al-gāylih illa ant wāsilhum <570>
- \*174. wallah yan raddaw misuh rabsuh . . . wallah ma yiridd minna ratb al-halg <508> (44).
- \*175. in dibaḥtan m ana nāgṣin Šammar w-in xallētan m ana mzīdin Šammar <347>
- \*176. in ćān Hēfa l-Sām zajjat lah aṣwāt // Nūtin yirūS al-yōm lajjat giṭīnah <665>
- \*177. ćān bak Sizzn adihruh <482>
- \*178. ćān tabiy twaṣṣi b-šayy waṣṣ <342>
- \*179. ćān buh aḥadin mn as-Swēd ytijammal bi-SGāb <530>
- \*180.la billah abaššrak . . . ćān hu Sašīrin lak innuh ma Sād yilūṭ ṣḥanak ġēr ma lāṭuh <576>
- \*181. ćān taby ad-dilūl? <320>
- \*182. irjaḥ l-Zōbas wa-s-Sanāsīs ya-ṭēr // ćān ant sēmānin tdūr al-lġāmi <610>
- \*183. allah yajsali ma ankis salyah ćān ma liḥiģt alli b-xāṭri <401>
- \*184. wallh inni šahhēt bak, willa ćān awwal ṭalag b-rāsak <111>
- \*185. law agfēna yabūn yafzisūn salēna <92>
- \*186. amma wallah lo jitak b-ġēr ha-ṭ-ṭirīgih hādi wallah inni masak <53>

\*187. ḥinna lo kān ḥinna ma liḥiģna Sala haka-d-dōr . . . lākin liḥiģna lina rjālin w-ittiṣalna bahum <5>

- \*188. wallah lo tatilban lama t\( \alpha jaz, illa tj\) an-n\( \alpha gat \le 32 \right)
- \*189. wallah lo tijīb kill ḥalāl Šammar wallah inni ma asitģak <351>
- \*190. wallah inni ma xabar lo idbah ar-rajl alli yatrid bi <150>
- \*191. wallah ya-Dbēb lo yāṣal ad-damm ila ar-rikbih, mnasāy ma sallimhum <563>
- \*192 wallah lo fitīlatha fīha innikum ma tiģfūn w-ŠiSlān maSkum <109>
- \*193. wallah lo hm as-Swēd in ćān tīzīna l-bill <277>
- \*194. wallah lo ant b-waih kill SNizih illa alūtak al-vōm <338>
- \*195. wallah ya-Ṭwērib amma lo ha-r-rabs alli misi killihm as-Swēd . . . wallah inni l-axāširkum b-ha-l-bill <275>
- \*196.amma law inn al-ġazuw min Sinjārih . . . ćān lak al-gōmat Salēh <28>
- \*197. lõla innik gilt li innik ibin Nōbān . . . ćān ma ḥaṭṭēt rūḥi b-wajhak <318>

Notice that in the examples \*186 and \*196, the conditional particle is preceded by another particle amma. This particle can stand by itself as a subordinate without the help of the conditional particle.

- \*198. amma yōm aš-šabkih wallah ma aslam yōm kill ha-ṣ-ṣṭi mni <330>
- \*199. amma yōm jīnāuh as-sibh wallah ma limistuh <330>
- \*200.amma aš-Šimāmrit alli ģēruh la billh illa b-wajhak <328>